

PhronAl Tokenomics





This paper introduces Phron, a groundbreaking approach to blockchain technology by integrating artificial intelligence (AI) capabilities into the foundational Layer 0. Building upon the traditional principles of decentralization, security, and scalability, an AI-based Layer 0 blockchain aims to revolutionize the landscape of distributed ledger systems.

The core of our proposed solution lies in the incorporation of AI algorithms, which enable dynamic consensus mechanisms, predictive security measures, and adaptive scalability. By leveraging machine learning, the proposed chain adapts to evolving network conditions, enhancing efficiency and responsiveness in real time. This adaptive consensus model not only strengthens resistance against attacks but also optimizes the network's performance under various scenarios.

The proposed AI-based Layer 1 to be constructed on the master classes in Layer 0 introduces intelligent contract execution, augmenting the capabilities of smart contracts. Through integrated machine learning algorithms, the system gains the ability to autonomously optimize contract execution, predict potential vulnerabilities, and dynamically adjust gas fees based on current market conditions. This not only streamlines transaction processing but also enhances the overall security and efficiency of smart contract operations.

In this paper, we go over the design principles, technical architecture, the integration of AI master class modules into the Layer 0 blockchain, and how Layer 1 gains access to the underlying Layer 0 blockchain. We introduce novel approaches to solve Layer 1 bootstrapping issues while still securing the chain by Node-Block-Sharing (NBS). The introduction of Adaptive AI Staking (AAIS) builds on the built-in master classes to determine the node reward boost allowing for a more efficient anesthetization. Finally, we introduce the AI arbiter



in the chain governance voting mechanism. The arbiter solves the long-standing issue of how to determine the voting power of the users. We explore the impact of AI on decentralization, security, and scalability, presenting empirical evidence of improved performance through simulations and real-world use cases.

Keywords: Blockchain, Al, Layer 0, Layer 1



1 Vision

PhronAl is the avant-garde Layer-1 blockchain that blends EVM compatibility and Proof-of-Stake, featuring an Al-driven Consensus Mechanism. PhronAi introduces a new proprietary consensus layer, enhanced by machine learning algorithms, with its core technology, Sophia, which enables transaction processing times of under 0.9 seconds at an average cost of \$0.00001 and maintains over 31,000 transactions per second, achieving unparalleled network scalability without congestion.

PhronAl is the first chain that establishes the usage of a dynamic consensus algorithm through the appliance of Al tools managed automatically by the Sophia Protocol giving an available testing sandbox to understand and improve the current Al model used for our next application. Once PhronAl is optimally refined, the technology will transition to PhronZero. PhronZero expands each chain built upon it with Al technology, granting it heightened efficiency, simplicity, and communication capabilities.

PhronAl empowers projects to create tailored solutions across various digital and real-world sectors, enabling efficient, secure, interoperable communication. This ecosystem nurtures trustless cooperation among applications, positioning PhronAl as a cornerstone for constructing a Web3 future that leverages the full potential of Al technology [1].



1.1 Inspiration

In the information era, blockchain and artificial intelligence are reshaping industries and redefining interactions with data and transactions. Both have emerged as transformative mediums, disrupting sectors ranging from finance to supply chain management. However, a genuine integration of the capabilities of both technologies, which would allow for a synergy that opens new possibilities, has yet to be realized.

The fusion of blockchain and artificial intelligence marks a significant leap forward in technological advancement, introducing a synergy that extends beyond the capabilities of each technology individually. Blockchain's decentralized and secure infrastructure for managing transactions and data is complemented by artificial intelligence's prowess in analyzing extensive datasets to uncover insights and streamline decision-making. This partnership not only can solve problems such as data privacy, security, and transparency but also sets the stage for the development of groundbreaking applications.

1.2 PhronAl: What's Under the Hood?

At the heart of the Phron blockchain lies PhronAl, a sophisticated amalgamation of cutting-edge technologies designed to fuel its decentralized ecosystem. PhronAl operates as the brainpower behind the platform, orchestrating various functions to ensure efficiency, security, and scalability.

At its core, PhronAl harnesses the power of artificial intelligence (AI) to optimize consensus mechanisms, enhance data validation processes, and streamline transaction throughput. Leveraging AI algorithms, PhronAI dynamically adjusts



network parameters, adapting to fluctuating demands and maintaining optimal performance levels.

One of the key features of PhronAl is its ability to autonomously detect and mitigate potential security threats, fortifying the network against malicious activities such as DDoS attacks, double-spending, and Sybil attacks. Through continuous monitoring and analysis of network behavior, PhronAl reinforces the blockchain's resilience, safeguarding user assets and preserving the integrity of transactions.

1.3 Sophia: Statistical Consensus Mechanism

Sophia utilizes a set of rules designed to analyze and interpret the metrics data of nodes, uncovering their functional capacity to participate in the network. Through this application, three categories of validators are activated to process a broad spectrum of transactions submitted at varying fee rates. This mechanism enhances the block production process by expanding the chain's capabilities, setting the standard transaction fee remarkably low based on previously mentioned average metrics. This standard cost applies to all transactions created and submitted by end-users, ensuring their rapid processing is comparable to high-fee transactions on other blockchains.

Periodically, Sophia evaluates individual statistics and generates a list categorizing validators into three groups. The Deep Learning Mechanisms oversee the PhronAi block sequence holistically, identifying any anomalies and initiating a Machine Learning auto-response mechanism to mitigate the risk posed by any potentially malicious party. Furthermore, validators within these groups are tasked with processing transactions immediately, based on fee values, benefiting end-users, node owners, and developers alike.



Validator participation within the network is carefully assessed using various metrics, which, after each mechanism cycle, serve as inputs for the next. Should a validator exhibit reduced participation, its metrics are recorded as low. With low metrics as inputs, there is a possibility of category shifts among validators, from super node to fast node or average node. Consequently, PhronAi motivates validators to engage actively in the network by processing blocks that include the maximum number of transactions. The first step involves collecting inputs from useful metrics that a node calculates independently. During the network's initialization phase, these metrics, serving as input values, are supplied by the genesis file and a self-enforcing smart contract. Once the statistical algorithm becomes fully operational, metrics input values will also be directly obtained from the event trigger functionality.



Fig. 1: Sophia Statistical Consensus Algorithm

Low latency, high throughput, the total number of votes, and maximum liveness metrics are sorted separately. For example, in the case of low latency, the



individual matrices of all validators will be used for low-latency sorting. After this process, sorted lists from each metric are passed to the next step. The following details the criteria used in sorting each list of metrics for the super, fast, and average categories.

A variable is declared by defining an equation:

x = TotalnumberofValidators/NumberofGroups

The number of validator groups is 3.

The top 'x'metrics in each sorted list are considered super metrics. Similarly, the remaining top 'x'metrics in each sorted list are considered fast metrics. All remaining metrics will be considered as average metrics.

Validators are categorized into super, fast, and average nodes based on a sorted list of all metrics. A validator is formally designated as a super node if it consistently appears as a super node in every sorted list. Similarly, a validator is classified as a fast node if it is listed as fast in at least three sorted lists. If these criteria are not met, the validator is designated as an average node. At this point, three comprehensive lists containing the IDs of all validators in the network are compiled, categorizing them as super, fast, and average nodes respectively.

In this phase, validator IDs within the super, fast, and average node categories retrieve their records from databases and caches, leading to the creation of fully functional validator objects ready to participate in the block-producing mechanisms.

The registry service temporarily stores the active validator groups from these three categories. These groups are also permitted to engage in the event emission and evaluation process for a specific epoch round.



Concurrently, a self-enforcing event trigger service operates in parallel, generating input signals for the initial phase of the statistical algorithm. This service additionally generates input signals in reaction to particular events, such as the addition or removal of a validator. Consequently, the data-capturing fields of input objects may be initialized or aligned with input matrices. Moreover, this phase is technically regarded as the concluding step of the statistical algorithm.

This final step also operates in parallel and shares its output with the continuous execution of the process already running in the previous step. Its primary purpose is to monitor the liveness of validators within each group. Should any changes in the liveness status occur, such as the addition of new validators or the removal of existing ones, a reporting event is generated and conveyed through the event trigger service.



2 PhronZero Layer 0

A Layer 0 blockchain, sometimes referred to as a "protocol layer" or "foundational layer," represents the underlying infrastructure upon which other blockchain layers operate. Unlike Layer 1, which typically encompasses blockchains like Bitcoin and Ethereum, Layer 0 is not concerned with specific applications or consensus mechanisms. Instead, it focuses on fundamental protocols and infrastructure components that provide the backbone for decentralized networks to function efficiently and securely (2]. Phron Zero blockchain provides the foundational infrastructure and protocols that enable the functioning of decentralized networks. It sets the stage for innovation and development at higher layers, empowering developers to build decentralized applications (dApps), decentralized finance (DeFi) protocols, and other blockchain-based solutions on top of a robust and secure foundation. The main innovations of PhronZero are Master Class and Node-Block-Sharing (NBS).

2.1 Master Class

The concept of classes in modern programming languages serves as a cornerstone for creating reusable structures from which objects can be instantiated (3, 4]. Extending this paradigm to the realm of blockchain, the Phron Master Class introduces a pioneering approach to enhancing blockchain functionality and extensibility.

At its core, the Phron Master Class enables the blockchain to evolve and adapt by incorporating new functionalities through the addition of Master Classes. These Master Classes undergo a rigorous validation and regression testing process to ensure their compatibility and reliability within the blockchain



ecosystem. Once validated, Master Classes are seamlessly integrated into the chain, becoming readily available for utilization by smart contracts.

The adoption of a Master Class is not only a technical decision but also an economic one. To invoke a Master Class, users are required to pay gas fees in addition to any other fees stipulated by the creator of the Master Class. This token economics framework is meticulously designed to incentivize the creation of Master Classes and foster a vibrant ecosystem of innovation and collaboration within the blockchain community.

By allowing Master Classes to be adopted into the chain, Phron empowers developers and stakeholders to introduce novel functionalities, optimizations, and improvements to the blockchain network. Whether it's introducing advanced cryptographic techniques, implementing complex algorithms, or enhancing interoperability with external systems, Master Classes serve as the building blocks for unlocking new capabilities and driving the evolution of blockchain technology. The integration



of Master Classes fosters a culture of openness and collaboration, where developers can contribute their expertise and innovations to the broader blockchain ecosystem.



Through a transparent and inclusive validation process, Phron ensures that Master Classes meet the highest standards of quality and reliability, thereby instilling confidence in their adoption by smart contracts and applications.

The Phron Master Class represents a paradigm shift in blockchain development, offering a scalable and extensible framework for incorporating new functionalities and innovations. By incentivizing the creation of Master Classes and fostering a collaborative ecosystem, Phron paves the way for the continuous evolution and advancement of blockchain technology.

2.2 Node-Block-Sharing (NBS)

The bootstrapping issue and lack of sufficient incentives for node operations are common challenges faced by Layer 1 blockchain networks (5]. These issues can impede the growth and sustainability of newly deployed chains, limiting their ability to operate securely and efficiently (6]. Node Block Sharing (NBS) presents a novel solution to these challenges, offering a comprehensive approach to address not only bootstrapping but also enhancing transaction processing and incentivizing node participation.

NBS leverages the underlying infrastructure of Phron Zero, a foundational protocol shared by all Layer 1 chains built upon it. This interoperability ensures cross-compatibility of transaction hashing, enabling nodes to seamlessly mine transactions across multiple Layer 1 chains. By tapping into a shared pool of participating nodes, each chain can access the necessary computational resources to process transactions efficiently, regardless of its individual node count.

One of the key benefits of NBS is its ability to address the bootstrapping issue by providing a decentralized network of nodes ready to support newly deployed chains. Instead of relying solely on the native node population of a



specific chain, newly launched networks can leverage the existing infrastructure of Phron Zero, significantly reducing the time and resources required for network initialization and stabilization.

NBS introduces a mechanism for optimizing mining rewards and transaction processing fees based on node status and network demand. By dynamically adjusting mining fees according to node availability and performance, each chain can incentivize node participation while ensuring fair compensation for computational resources contributed. This approach not only promotes a healthy ecosystem of node operators but also enhances the overall security and efficiency of transaction processing across Layer 1 chains.

To further enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of NBS, an AI agent can be employed to optimize the return on investment (ROI) for each participating node. By analyzing network dynamics, transaction volumes, and node performance metrics, the AI agent can dynamically adjust mining strategies and fee structures to maximize profitability for individual nodes while maintaining network stability and security.

Node Block Sharing represents a pioneering approach to addressing the bootstrapping issue and incentivizing node participation in Layer 1 blockchain networks. By leveraging cross-chain compatibility, dynamic fee structures, and Al-driven optimization, NBS offers a scalable and sustainable solution to the challenges facing decentralized blockchain ecosystems.







3 Phron Layer 1

A Layer 1 blockchain is the base layer of a blockchain network, where the primary functionalities such as transaction processing, consensus mechanisms, and smart contract execution take place. Layer 1 blockchains serve as the foundation upon which decentralized applications (dApps) and various other protocols are built [7].

3.1 Al Arbiter

The voting weight issue in blockchain governance revolves around the complexity of determining the influence each participant holds in decentralized decision-making within a blockchain network [8, 9]. In decentralized governance systems, such as those prevalent in blockchain projects, decisions concerning protocol upgrades, changes, or community initiatives are typically made through a voting mechanism [10]. The introduction of an AI arbiter within Phron's governance system revolutionizes this aspect by harnessing advanced artificial intelligence algorithms. Unlike conventional methods reliant on static metrics like token holdings or stake sizes, the AI arbiter considers a diverse array of dynamic factors to fairly allocate voting influence to each participant [11].

The incorporation of an AI arbiter within the governance voting mechanism of the Phron chain signifies a breakthrough in addressing the persistent challenge of determining users' voting power in decentralized decision-making processes. Traditionally, this issue has sparked debates regarding fairness, transparency, and susceptibility to manipulation. One pivotal advantage of employing an AI arbiter lies in its capability to analyze intricate datasets and discern patterns, trends, and user behaviors that may elude human observation. Through machine learning techniques, the AI arbiter continually adapts and improves,



ensuring precise and equitable distribution of voting power over time. The Al arbiter introduces objectivity and impartiality, which are lacking in human-driven governance systems. Eliminating biases and subjective judgments guarantees that decisions are based solely on merit and community interests rather than individual inclinations.

From an efficiency point of view, the AI arbiter enhances governance efficiency and scalability by automating essential tasks such as voter registration, verification, and vote tabulation. This not only streamlines decision-making but also mitigates the risk of human error or manipulation.

Beyond its role in determining voting power, the Al arbiter offers valuable insights and recommendations to inform governance decisions. By analyzing historical voting patterns and market data, it aids users in making informed decisions aligned with Phron chain's long-term objectives and sustainability.

3.2 Adaptive Al Staking (AAIS)

Blockchain staking is a mechanism used to secure and validate transactions on a blockchain network, as well as to incentivize network participants to actively contribute to the network's operation. Staking involves users locking up a certain amount of cryptocurrency tokens as collateral to participate in the network's consensus process. In return for staking their tokens, participants are rewarded with additional tokens as an incentive for helping to maintain the network's security and integrity [12]. Blockchain staking offers several advantages over traditional Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanisms, including reduced energy consumption, scalability improvements, and potentially enhanced decentralization. Additionally, staking enables cryptocurrency token holders to earn passive income by contributing to



network validation, thereby encouraging sustained investment and involvement in blockchain ecosystems [13].

Phron utilizes Adaptive AI Staking (AAIS), introduced by Dr. Adel ElMessiry, which is an innovative approach to blockchain staking that leverages artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms to dynamically adjust staking parameters based on real time network conditions, user behavior, and market dynamics. This methodology aims to optimize staking rewards, mitigate risks, and enhance the efficiency of the staking process. The main characteristics of AAIS are expanded in the following sections.

3.2.1 Dynamic Staking Parameters

AAIS utilizes AI algorithms to continuously analyze various factors such as network congestion, transaction volume, token price movements, and user participation. Based on this analysis, AAIS dynamically adjusts staking parameters such as staking duration, reward rates, and token allocation to maximize returns and adapt to changing network conditions.

3.2.2 Risk Management

AAIS incorporates risk management strategies to mitigate potential losses and protect stakers' interests. Al algorithms monitor market volatility, security threats, and other risk factors and automatically adjust staking parameters to minimize exposure to risks such as price fluctuations and network vulnerabilities.

3.2.3 Customizable

AAIS prioritizes the interests of stakers by tailoring staking parameters to individual preferences, risk tolerance, and investment goals. Users have the flexibility to customize their staking preferences and adjust parameters such as



staking duration, reward distribution frequency, and withdrawal options to suit their needs.

3.2.4 Optimized Reward Distribution

AAIS optimizes reward distribution mechanisms to ensure fair and equitable distribution of staking rewards among participants. Al algorithms dynamically adjust reward rates based on factors such as staking duration, token holdings, and network contribution, incentivizing active participation and encouraging long-term engagement.

3.2.5 Continuous Learning

AAIS incorporates machine learning techniques to continuously learn from past performance, user feedback, and market data to refine its algorithms and improve staking efficiency over time. By analyzing historical data and identifying patterns, AAIS can make more accurate predictions and better optimize staking parameters to maximize returns for participants. The end goal of AAIS is to adjust the required staking amount and the rewards in a manner that rewards user behavior that is conducive to the entire ecosystem over the long run.



4 Token Economics

4.1 Introduction

PhronZero presents a pioneering approach to the integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), offering a layer 0 infrastructure designed to empower Layer 1 blockchains with unprecedented AI capabilities. The model aims to ensure the sustainability, growth, and decentralized governance of the ecosystem and is constructed to incentivize participation, secure the network, and facilitate a vibrant economy centered around AI and blockchain synergy.

4.2 Purpose of the Token

- Transaction Fees: Used to pay for transactions and services within the PhronZero ecosystem, including smart contract deployments and Al service calls.
- Staking: Required for participating in network consensus as validators, securing the network, and earning rewards.
- Governance: Grants holders the right to vote on proposals concerning the network's development, feature integrations, and use of the ecosystem fund.
- Al Services Access: Enables access to advanced Al capabilities and services provided by PhronZero, acting as a payment mechanism within the Al marketplace.

4.3 Deflationary Mechanism

Transaction Fee Burns: A portion of transaction fees (e.g., 0.5%) is burned, reducing the total supply over time and creating deflationary pressure.



Al Service Fee Burns: Similar to transaction fees, a portion of the fees paid for using Al services within PhronZero will be burned.

4.4 Staking and Validator Incentives

Dynamic Staking Rewards: Adjusted based on network participation levels, total staked amount, and overall network performance to ensure attractive yet sustainable reward levels [14].

4.5 Dynamic Gas Fee Model

Optimizing for network efficiency and user experience, we employ a dynamic gas fee model, drawing inspiration from Ethereum's EIP-1559 [15], formulated thus:

$$G(t) = \int_0^t \left(\text{BaseFee}(t) + \text{Tip} + \epsilon \left(\frac{P_{\text{target}} - P(t)}{P(t)} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\Delta C(\tau) + \Delta N(\tau) \right) d\tau \quad (1)$$

- **BaseFee(t):** Dynamically adjusts based on block space utilization, ensuring adaptability to network demand.
- **Tip:** An optional incentivization for validators to prioritize transactions, enhancing throughput during peak times.
- $\Delta C(\tau)$ and $\Delta N(\tau)$: Represent the rate of change in transaction complexity and network congestion, respectively.
- ϵ : A sensitivity parameter for the token price stabilization mechanism.
- P_{target} and P (t): Target and current token prices, guiding fee adjustments to market conditions.

Storage Fee Formulation

Reflecting considerations of data size, redundancy, and depreciating storage costs over time:



$$S(D, t, R) = \text{StorageBaseFee} \cdot D \cdot R \cdot e^{-\lambda t}$$
(2)

- **StorageBaseFee:** Cost per unit of data storage.
- D: Size of the data stored.
- R: Redundancy factor for data reliability.
- λ : Reflects decreasing storage technology costs over time.

4.7 Fee Distribution Mechanism

Encouraging a collaborative network through a model that rewards validators based on performance:

$$F_{\text{Layer1}} = F \cdot (\alpha + \beta P) \tag{3}$$

$$F_{\text{Layer0}} = F \cdot (1 - (\alpha + \beta P)) \tag{4}$$

- F: Total transaction fees collected.
- α : Base coefficient for fee distribution between layers.
- β: Adjusts distribution based on validator performance.
- P: Performance metric for validators.

4.8 Staking Rewards Dynamics

Enhancing network security and stakeholder engagement via a dynamic staking rewards model:

$$R(S,t) = I \cdot S(t) + \gamma V(t) + \theta \left(\frac{P_{\text{target}} - P(t)}{P(t)}\right) \cdot S(t)$$
(5)

• I: Inflation rate for reward distribution.



- S(t): Total amount staked.
- y: Validator performance coefficient.
- θ: Token price stabilization coefficient.

These mechanisms are crafted to ensure the blockchain remains adaptable, efficient, and economically sustainable, fostering a robust ecosystem conducive to long-term stability.

4.9 Dual Token Architecture

A dual token architecture in blockchain refers to a system where there are two distinct types of tokens operating within the same ecosystem, typically with one token serving as the default base currency and another as a customizable token specific to individual layers or chains built on top of the base protocol. In this scenario, let's explore the architecture with Phron Zero as the default token [1, 16].

4.9.1 Phron Zero Token (Default Token)

Phron Zero token serves as the default base currency within the blockchain ecosystem. It is used for various purposes, such as transaction fees, rewards, and value exchange within the network. Phron Zero token is the foundational token upon which the entire ecosystem is built. It ensures interoperability and consistency across different layers and chains within the ecosystem.

4.9.2 Layer 1 Custom Tokens

Any Layer 1 blockchain built on top of Phron Zero can opt to incorporate a custom token specific to its chain. These custom tokens can have their own unique features, use cases, and economic models tailored to the specific requirements of the layer or chain. Custom tokens can be used for various



purposes, including governance, utility, incentivization, and more within their respective chains.

4.9.3 Interoperability Between Layer 1

The dual token architecture ensures interoperability between the default Phron Zero token and the custom tokens. Users can seamlessly transact and exchange value between different chains and layers within the ecosystem, irrespective of the specific tokens being used. Smart contracts and protocols are designed to accommodate both Phron Zero and custom tokens, facilitating smooth interactions between them. Think of it as the reserve currency of the global monitor system.

4.9.3 Integration and Development

Developers building on top of Phron Zero can choose to integrate the default token or create custom tokens specific to their applications or layer 1 blockchains. Development frameworks, APIs, and toolkits are provided to simplify the process of token creation and integration, enabling developers to focus on building innovative solutions.

4.9.4 Economic Model and Governance

The economic model of the ecosystem may involve mechanisms for governing the issuance, distribution, and utilization of both Phron Zero and custom tokens [17]. Governance structures ensure that the interests of token holders and participants are aligned with the overall goals and sustainability of the ecosystem. In summary, a dual token architecture in blockchain, with Phron Zero as the default token, allows for flexibility, customization, and interoperability within the ecosystem. It empowers developers to build diverse



applications and layer 1 blockchains while maintaining a cohesive network supported by the foundational Phron Zero token.

4.10 Incentives

An initial rewards curve for a newly launched protocol focuses on encouraging the use of a higher proportion of trusted Layer-0 (L0) nodes during the critical early stages of development and operation. This approach is strategically advantageous because it ensures a more secure and stable launch by leveraging the established security and reliability of L0 nodes. By incentivizing the utilization of these nodes through a reward structure that makes it more cost-effective to use more L0 nodes rather than fewer, the protocol can maintain integrity and trustworthiness in its nascent phase.





Fig. 2: Bootstrapping Phase Rewards Curve Model

As the project matures and gains stability, trust, and a wider validator base, the rewards curve can transition. This change reflects the growing confidence in the protocol's own Layer-1 (L1) validators and a deliberate shift towards encouraging a more decentralized model. The upsloping rewards curve now incentivizes a gradual reduction in dependency on L0 nodes, rewarding the protocol for diversifying its validator network. This evolution in the incentives curve aligns with the project's development trajectory, from relying on the foundational security of L0 nodes to fostering its autonomous, decentralized security apparatus as it matures.





Fig. 3: Stability Phase Rewards Curve Model



5 Staking

The Phron blockchain employs a novel approach to validator selection, leveraging both user staking and Al-ranked performance to ensure a robust, fair, and meritocratic system. This method prioritizes high-performing nodes while incorporating community trust and randomness to democratize the selection process.

5.1 Meritocratic Selection

Validators are chosen based on a combination of AI-generated performance metrics and user staking, promoting a system where merit and community trust determine validator selection. The AI scores and ranks nodes by their operational efficacy, creating a competitive environment that motivates validators to uphold high standards. This merit-based selection system ensures that the most reliable and efficient validators are prioritized.

5.2 Community Participation

The incorporation of user staking into the validator ranking allows the community to have a direct influence on the selection process. Validators that receive higher stakes from the community are perceived as more trusted, thereby integrating a democratic element into the system. This approach aligns the network's operation with the preferences and trust of its users.

5.3 Fairness and Randomness

To further ensure fairness, the system includes a random lottery element that considers both the AI rankings and the percentage of stakes. This mechanism introduces a degree of randomness, mitigating biases and providing



opportunities for newer or smaller validators to participate in network validation.

5.4 Selection Algorithm

The final decision on validator selection is based on the following factors:

- 1. The AI ranking of a node k, denoted as R_{Stake}^{k} , ranks nodes in ascending order based on their performance, with the best nodes receiving a higher rank.
- 2. The stake-based ranking of node k, denoted as R_{Stake}^{k} , applies the same ranking principle, prioritizing nodes with higher stakes.
- 3. A random lottery that accounts for both Al and stake-based rankings, calculating the probability P_k for a node k to be selected as a validator.

The algorithm is formalized by the following equations:

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{AI}^{k}$$
$$B = \sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{Stake}^{k}$$
$$P_{k} = \frac{R_{AI}^{k} + R_{Stake}^{k}}{A + B}$$

where A is the sum of AI ranks for all nodes, B is the sum of stake-based ranks, and P_{ν} represents the selection probability of node k.



5.5 Reward System

PHRON constitutes the link between the PhronAi Ecosystem and the holder. The PHRON reward system for node validators will work with the APR method; it is described with the following equation:

$$y = -\log_{15 \times 10^{15}} \left(\frac{x}{2000} + 0.0001\right) - 0.1$$

where:

- $0 \le x < \infty$
- y is the APR (in decimal form)
- x is the time (in years)

This APR calculation factors into the broader staking and reward mechanism, ensuring validators are incentivized proportionally to their commitment and performance over time.









6 Governance

In an endeavor to ensure a high degree of decentralization, PhronAl introduces an on-chain governance model predicated on a vote-escrowed mechanism. This approach empowers token holders to influence the ecosystem dynamically, aligning with the principles of decentralized autonomous organization (DAO) governance.

6.1 Vote-Escrowed Tokenomics

Vote-escrowed tokenomics grants token holders the autonomy to determine a lock-up period for their tokens, effectively tying the token's utility to the duration of its lock.

The extended commitment to lock up tokens translates into enhanced influence within the network, manifesting in:

- Enhanced governance voting power.
- Increased staking rewards.
- Amplified voting impact on specific liquidity pools.

veTokens Align Incentives: The veToken model is designed to synchronize the protocol's success with that of the token holders'. A prolonged lock-up period symbolizes a vested interest in the protocol's prosperity.

VeTokens Encourage DAO Participation: By offering additional voting power for longer lock-up periods, the protocol incentivizes users to "max time-lock" their tokens, thereby strengthening their governance voice. This mechanism ensures that token holders who are deeply invested in the DAO's future are rewarded with greater influence.



6.2 Quadratic Voting

To further democratize the governance process and mitigate the risks of centralization and collusion, PhronAl will employ a quadratic voting system. This system ensures that as token holders acquire more tokens, the marginal increase in their voting power diminishes, promoting a more equitable distribution of governance influence.

The governance voting power, V , is determined by the equation:

$$V = \sqrt{R \times L}$$

where:

- V represents the vePhron balance, indicating the voting power.
- R denotes the Phron native token quantity.
- L is the token lock-up period multiplier, enhancing the token's voting power.

The vePhron balance declines linearly from the initiation of the lock-up period to its end, at which point stakeholders can reclaim their Phron tokens. However, token holders are afforded the flexibility to extend or renew their lock-up duration at any juncture, enabling them to either augment or maintain their vePhron balance and, by extension, their governance influence.



7 Chain Simulations

7.1 Transaction Throughput Simulation

To evaluate the robustness and scalability of the foundational Layer 0 network, we performed a simulation of transaction throughput for several Layer 1 projects running concurrently. The primary aim was to observe the network's ability to manage and distribute its transaction processing capacity among the projects.

7.1.1 Simulation Parameters

The simulation was conducted under the following assumptions:

- Layer O Capacity: The maximum transactions per second (tps) capacity was set at 31,000, reflecting a high-throughput blockchain infrastructure.
- Even Distribution: The Layer 0 network's tps capacity was evenly divided among the Layer 1 projects, emulating a fair and balanced load-sharing protocol.
- **Temporal Scope:** The simulation covered a 100-second timeframe, providing a snapshot of network activity in a high-velocity environment.

7.1.2 Throughput Simulation Results

The throughput simulation [18] results (Figure 5) showcased the transactions processed by each Layer 1 project over time. The graphical representation illustrated that despite the fluctuations typically observed in network conditions, each project maintained a consistent level of activity, indicating a resilient and well-dimensioned network infrastructure.





Fig. 5: Simulated Transaction Throughput for Layer 1 Projects on Layer 0 Network.

7.2 Gas Fee Simulation

Complementary to the throughput analysis, a simulation of gas fees was executed [19], capturing the computational and storage demands of various dApp types. This simulation aimed to offer insight into the costs associated with on-chain activity, from simple transactions to complex smart contract interactions.

7.2.1 Assumptions for Gas Fee Simulation

The following assumptions were integral to the gas fee simulation:

• **Computational Complexity:** Each dApp type exhibited a distinct pattern of transaction complexity, informed by common use case scenarios.



• **Storage Requirements:** dApps with storage needs were attributed higher gas fees, proportional to the size of the data being managed.

• **Network Congestion:** A sinusoidal model was applied to simulate network congestion, affecting the gas fees across all dApp types.

7.2.2 Phron Zero Gas Fee Simulation Results

Since Phron Zero is the foundation on which multiple Layer ones will run, we need to understand the holistic impact of each layer one chain on layer zero. Let's first take a look at the assumed types of each layer one.

7.2.3 Simulated Gaming Focused Layer One

A gaming-focused blockchain is a specialized blockchain network designed specifically to cater to the needs and requirements of the gaming industry. Such a blockchain leverages the unique characteristics of blockchain technology to offer various features and functionalities tailored to gamers, game developers, and other stakeholders within the gaming ecosystem. The expected gas fees would be an order of magnitude higher for the gaming Dapps rather than the DEX or Storage.





Fig. 6: Simulated Gas Fee Consumption for Gaming Biased Layer 1.

7.2.4 Simulated DEX Focused Layer One

A decentralized exchange (DEX) focused blockchain is a specialized blockchain network specifically designed to facilitate decentralized trading of digital assets, such as cryptocurrencies, tokens, and other blockchain-based assets. This type of blockchain prioritizes features and functionalities that enhance the performance, security, and user experience of decentralized exchange platforms.Naturally, such a chain would generate more swap related gas fees.




Fig. 7: Simulated Gas Fee Consumption for DEX Biased Layer 1.

7.2.5 Simulated Storage Focused Layer One

A storage-focused blockchain typically prioritizes the efficient and secure storage of data on the blockchain network. Gas fees, which represent the cost of performing transactions or executing smart contracts on the blockchain, play a crucial role in incentivizing network participants and maintaining the security and integrity of the system. In a storage-focused blockchain, gas fees may be structured in a way that reflects the costs associated with storing and accessing data on the blockchain. Gas fees in a storage-focused blockchain are designed to reflect the costs of storing and accessing data on the



blockchain while incentivizing efficient resource usage and maintaining network security and performance. By implementing a dynamic and transparent fee structure, the blockchain ensures that gas fees remain competitive, responsive, and aligned with the needs of network participants.





7.3 Phron Zero Simulated Gas Fee Consumption

The results, as visualized in Figure 12, depicted the variability of gas fees over time for gaming, DEX, and storage dApps. The simulation reflected that



storage-intensive dApps may incur higher fees during peak data operations, whereas gaming and DEX dApps showed variable fees correlated with their interactive and market-driven activities.



Fig. 9: Simulated Gas Fee Consumption for Different dApps.

7.4 Conclusion

The simulations confirm the Layer 0 network's capacity to support a multi-faceted blockchain ecosystem, managing both high-velocity transactions and complex dApp interactions efficiently. By mirroring realistic operational conditions, the simulations validate the network's design philosophy, highlighting



its ability to adaptively balance performance and cost for diverse Layer 1 projects.

8 Economic Simulation

The methodology will center on the use of stochastic approximations to model and analyze the system. This approach allows us to estimate the collective behavior of agents within a system under conditions of uncertainty and variability. By leveraging stochastic approximations, we can efficiently simulate and predict outcomes without the need for detailed data on every individual component. This principle underpins our commitment to achieving both accuracy and computational efficiency in our simulations. Simulations will be used with a focus on understanding price dynamics, not with the aim of predicting the exact future price, but rather to comprehend the conditions and environment conducive to price appreciation or identifying factors leading to price declines.

While PhronAl is envisioned to evolve into a blockchain of blockchains, our current evaluation will concentrate exclusively on its initial Layer-1. However, the potential of Layer 1 should be assessed with the understanding that its scope extends beyond merely its launch. This principle underscores the importance of viewing PhronAl's Layer-1 not just as an isolated product but as a foundational element that contributes to the overall growth and success of the ecosystem.



8.1 Modeling Parameters

We have used the following parameters, which we think are reasonable for this type of blockchain.

- Run time 5 years.
- Initial price = \$0.5
- Starting monthly transaction volume = \$30 million
- Final monthly transaction volume = \$300 million

• Pricing equation: Equation of exchange P=T/(MV) Allocation and emissions are highlighted above.

• Total tokens: 70% of the full supply (treasury and foundation are assumed to be out of circulation).

• Holding time: Lognormal Distribution.

The holding time data utilized in our analysis was compiled from a collection of holding times extracted from various industry projects. This dataset has been adopted as a robust basis for determining holding times, underpinned by the rationale that observed patterns across these projects offer a substantial foundation for formulating well-informed assumptions about future asset holding durations. By leveraging this historical data, we have established a benchmark for holding times, ensuring our projections are anchored in tangible, real-world observations and trends.





Fig. 10: Visual Holding Time Representation as Lognormal Distribution.

A simulation was conducted to test the resilience of the current assumptions. The simulation ran for 100 iterations. Each iteration consisted of the parameters displayed above. The results are shown below. The solid blue line shows the mean fair price, while the shaded areas show 95% confidence intervals.

8.2 Base Scenario Simulation

It looks like a launch price of \$0.5 can lead to a fair value of close to \$60 as a best-case scenario over 5 years.





Fig. 11: Base Scenario Simulation.

8.3 Conclusion

Price appreciation is observed even with conservative metrics. This indicates that even modest achievements relative to transaction volume can lead to significant value increases, highlighting the potential for growth despite cautious projections.

Price appreciation, along with the current token supply allocation and emissions, demonstrates that the tokenomics from a quantitative perspective



are defensible and can appreciate even without modeling forward multiples, which are often observed in euphoric market conditions to be 5-10x.

Price appreciation is assessed exclusively within the scope of Phron L1, excluding consideration of the interconnectedness with L0 and other L1 networks. This approach underrates the real project's value growth, which could be faster when the project's ultimate vision is considered.

8.4 Token Allocations

The tokenomics of Phron AI is structured with an initial circulating supply of 2,100,000,000 PHRON, with emissions for additional token creation to support future growth and scalability of the ecosystem.

- **Private Sale 1 (9.3%):** Unlocks at Token Generation Event (TGE), followed by a 12-month linear vesting period. This is designed to protect the ecosystem from excessive token infusion during the chain bootstrap phase.
- **Private Sale 2 (15%):** Subject to a 12-month cliff, followed by a 12-month linear vesting period. This is designed to protect the ecosystem from excessive token infusion during the chain bootstrap phase.
- **Public Sale (0.7%):** Unlocks at TGE, followed by a 2-month linear vesting period.
- **Team (15%):** Subject to a 12-month cliff, followed by a 36-month linear vesting period. The longer vesting period is designed to insure that the team will continue chain support for the next two years at a minimum.
- **Ecosystem Supportive Nodes (15%):** This amount is reserved for the ecosystem nodes Locked indefinitely to support the nodes.
- Liquidity and market makers (17%): Fully unlocked.
- Advisors (3%): Subject to a 12-month cliff, followed by a 12-month linear vesting period.



- Foundation (15%): Unlocks at Token Generation Event (TGE), followed by a 48month linear vesting period. The funds will be used for building the L0 and the grants program. The grants program will fund L1s with a strategic focus, facilitating the creation of the Phron ecosystem. The foundation will be utilized to support the construction of Layer 0 and the development of the system. This allocation strategy is designed to
- **Treasury (10%):** Unlocks at Token Generation Event (TGE), followed by a 48-month linear vesting period. Managed for Future Rounds.



Acknowledgements. We would like to acknowledge the contributions of our community, especially to the completion of this work.



Appendix A Simulation Code Example

The following is the code written in Python to generate the simulations used in the document above.



A.1 Simulated Transactions Per Second (TPS) Over 24 Hours

```
1 import numpy as np
 2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 4 base_tps_phronzero = 100000
   base_tps_phronlayer1 = 50000
 \mathbf{5}
   time_hours = np.arange(0, 24, 1)
network_load_factor_phronzero = 0.5 * np.sin(np.pi * time_hours / 12 - np.pi/2) + 1
network_load_factor_phronlayer1 = 0.5 * np.sin(np.pi * time_hours / 12 - np.pi/2) +
 9
            1.5
10
11
    effective_tps_phronzero = base_tps_phronzero * network_load_factor_phronzero
12 effective_tps_phronlayer1 = base_tps_phronlayer1 * network_load_factor_phronlayer1
13
14 plt.figure(figsize=(14, 7))
15
16 plt.plot(time_hours, effective_tps_phronzero, label='PhronZero TPS', marker='o')
17 plt.plot(time_hours, effective_tps_phronlayer1, label='Phron Layer 1 TPS', marker='
           x')
18
19 plt.title('Simulated Transactions Per Second (TPS) Over 24 Hours')
20 plt.xlabel('Time (Hours)')
21 plt.ylabel('Transactions Per Second (TPS)')
22 plt.legend()
23 plt.grid(True)
24 plt.xticks(time_hours)
   plt.ylim(0, max(effective_tps_phronzero) + 50000)
25
26
27
   plt.show()
    \end{verbatim*}
\mathbf{28}
    \subsection{Simulated Dynamic Gas Fee}
29
30 \begin{verbatim*}
31 import numpy as np
32 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
33
    def calculate_dynamic_gas_fee(base_fee, tip, epsilon, p_target, p_current, delta_c,
34
            delta_n):
35
         Calculate the dynamic gas fee for a transaction based on the provided
36
                parameters.
37
38
          :param base_fee: Base fee of the transaction
         :param tip: Optional tip to miners/validators
:param epsilon: Sensitivity parameter for token price stabilization
:param p_target: Target token price
:param p_current: Current token price
39
40
41
42
         :param delta_c: Rate of change in transaction complexity
:param delta_n: Rate of change in network congestion
:return: Calculated dynamic gas fee
43
44
45
46
         price_adjustment = epsilon * (p_target - p_current) / p_current
gas_fee = (base_fee + tip + price_adjustment) * (delta_c + delta_n)
47
\overline{48}
          return gas_fee
49
50
51 base_fee = 10
52 tip = 1
53 \text{ epsilon} = 0.1

54 \text{ p_target} = 1
\begin{array}{l} 55 \\ \text{p_current} = 0.65 \\ \text{delta_c} = 1 \end{array}
57 \text{ delta_n} = 1
```

Listing 1: Python example



A.2 Gas Fees for Storage Biased L1 with Secondary dApp Support

Listing 2: Python example



A.3 Simulated Gas Fees

```
1
 2 import numpy as np
 3 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 \mathbf{4}
5 # Setup
 6 t = np.linspace(0, 2 * np.pi, 400)
 7 BaseRate = 10
 8 StorageRate = 0.05
9 N = 0.5 * np.sin(t) + 1.5 # Network congestion
10
11 # Parameters for complexity (C)
12 A, B, V, M, S = 1.5, 1, 2, 1.5, 0.8
13 omega, eta, kappa, alpha, R, T = 2, 0.05, 1, 0.1, 5, 50
14 i = np.arange(1, int(np.max(t) / T) + 1) * T
15
16 # Gaming dApp
17 C_gaming = A * np.sin(omega * t) + B
18 D_gaming = np.zeros_like(t)
19 G_gaming = BaseRate * (1 + C_gaming + N) + StorageRate * D_gaming
20
21 # DEX
22 C_dex = V * np.log(1 + eta * t) + M * np.sin(kappa * t)
23 D_dex = np.zeros_like(t)
24 G_dex = BaseRate * (1 + C_dex + N) + StorageRate * D_dex
^{25}
26 # Storage dApp
27 C_storage = np.full_like(t, S)
28 D_storage = R * np.sum([np.exp(-alpha * (t - iT)**2) for iT in i], axis=0)
29 G_storage = BaseRate * (1 + C_storage + N) + StorageRate * D_storage
30
31 # Plotting
32 plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
33 plt.plot(t, G_gaming, label='Gaming dApp')
34 plt.plot(t, G_dex, label='DEX')
35 plt.plot(t, G_storage, label='Storage dApp', linestyle='--')
36
37 plt.title('Simulated Gas Fees')
38 plt.xlabel('Time (in cycles)')
39 plt.ylabel('Gas Fee (in gui unit)')
40 plt.legend()
41 plt.grid(True)
42 plt.show()
```

Listing 3: Python example



A.4 Gas Fees for Storage Biased L1 with Secondary dApp Suppo

```
2 import numpy as np
3 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
       # Setup
  5
  0
C_gaming_primary = 1.5 * np.sin(2 * np.pi * t / max(t))
11
C_dex_secondary = 0.3 * np.sin(4 * np.pi * t / max(t)) # Reduced influence
12
C_storage_secondary = 0.2 * np.sin(4 * np.pi * t / max(t)) # Reduced influence
13
18 plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
19 plt.liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(liguite(li
22
23 plt.title('Gas Fees for Gaming Biased L1 with Secondary dApp Support')
24 plt.xlabel('Time (in cycles)')
25 plt.ylabel('Gas Fee (in gwei)')
26 plt.legend()
27 plt.grid(True)
28 plt.show()
29
30 plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
31
35
38 plt.plot(t, G_storage_supportive, label='Storage dApp - Supportive', color='green',
                    linestyle=':')
39
40 plt.title('Gas Fees for DEX Biased L1 with Secondary dApp Support')
41 plt.xlabel('Time (in cycles)')
42 plt.ylabel('Gas Fee (in gwei)')
43 plt.legend()
43 plt.legend()
44 plt.grid(True)
45 plt.show()
46
47
increased presenc
51 G_dex_supportive = BaseRate * (1 + 0.2 * np.sin(4 * np.pi * t / max(t)) + N)
52
53 plt.plot(t, G_storage_primary, label='Storage dApp - Primary', color='green')
54 plt.plot(t, G_gaming_supportive, label='Gaming dApp - Supportive', color='blue',
linestyle='--')
55 plt.plot(t, G_dex_supportive, label='DEX dApp - Supportive', color='orange',
                  linestyle=':')
56
```

```
57 plt.title('Gas Fees for Storage Biased L1 with Secondary dApp Support')
58 plt.xlabel('Time (in cycles)')
59 plt.ylabel('Gas Fee (in gwei)')
60 plt.legend()
61 plt.grid(True)
62 plt.show()
```

Listing 4: Python example



1

Hacken OU Parda 4, Kesklinn, Tallinn 10151 Harju Maakond, Eesti Kesklinna, Estonia

A.5 Transaction Throughput for Layer 1 Projects and Total Usage on Layer 0 Network

```
2
  import numpy as np
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3
 4
 \mathbf{5}
   # Simulation parameters
 6 SIMULATION_DURATION = 100 # Total time for simulation in seconds
7 LAYER_0_TPS = 31000 # Layer 0's maximum tps
  NUM_PROJECTS = 3 # Number of Layer 1 projects
 8
9
10 # Assume an even distribution of Layer 0's TPS across Layer 1 projects
11 tps_distribution = LAYER_0_TPS / NUM_PROJECTS
12
13 # Simulating transaction throughput for each Layer 1 project over time
14 time_steps = np.linspace(0, SIMULATION_DURATION, SIMULATION_DURATION)
15 throughput_data = {}
16
  # Total throughput at each time step
17
18 total_throughput = np.zeros(SIMULATION_DURATION)
19
20 # Create throughput data for each project and calculate total throughput
21 for i in range(NUM_PROJECTS):
    # Randomly vary the tps for each project to simulate fluctuating network
22
            conditions
       tps_variation = np.random.normal(0, 1000, SIMULATION_DURATION)
throughput_data[f'Project {i+1}'] = tps_distribution + tps_variation
23
24
25
       total_throughput += throughput_data[f'Project {i+1}']
26
27 # Plotting the multi-line chart for individual projects
28 plt.figure(figsize=(14, 7))
29 for project, tps in throughput_data.items():
30 plt.plot(time_steps, tps, label=project)
31
32 # Adding the total usage curve
33 plt.plot(time_steps, total_throughput, label='Total Usage', color='black',
       linewidth=2, linestyle='--')
34
35 # Chart configurations
36 plt.title('Transaction Throughput for Layer 1 Projects and Total Usage on Layer 0
       Network')
37 plt.xlabel('Time (seconds)')
38 plt.ylabel('Transactions per Second (tps)')
39 plt.legend()
40 plt.grid(True)
41 plt.show()
```

Listing 5: Python example



A.6 The Annual Percentage Rate (APR)

```
import numpy as np
 1
 \mathbf{2}
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 3
 4
   def apr_function(x):
        base = 15 * 10**15
apr_decimal = -np.log10(x / 2000 + 0.0001) / np.log10(base) - 0.1
 \mathbf{5}
 6
        return apr_decimal * 100
\overline{7}
 8
   x_values = np.linspace(0, 20, 400) # Assuming the time range is 0 to 20 years for
9
         illustration
   y_values = apr_function(x_values)
10
11
12 # Plotting the function
13 plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
14 plt.plot(x_values, y_values, label='APR over time')
15 plt.title('APR as a function of Time (Percentage)')
16 plt.xlabel('Time (years)')
17 plt.ylabel('APR (%)')
18 plt.legend()
19 plt.grid(True)
20 plt.show()
```

Listing 6: Python example

References

[1] ElMessiry, M., ElMessiry, A., ElMessiry, M.: Dual token blockchain economy framework. In: International Conference on Blockchain, pp. 157–170 (2019).Springer

[2] Gangwal, A., Gangavalli, H.R., Thirupathi, A.: A survey of layer-two blockchain protocols. Journal of Network and Computer Applications 209, 103539 (2023)

[3] Mitchell, J.C.: Concepts in Programming Languages. Cambridge University Press, ??? (2003)

[4] Pierce, B.C.: Types and Programming Languages. MIT press, ??? (2002)



[5] Garay, J.A., Kiayias, A., Leonardos, N., Panagiotakos, G.: Bootstrapping the blockchain, with applications to consensus and fast pki setup. In: Public-Key Cryptography–PKC 2018: 21st IACR International Conference on Practice and Theory of Public-Key Cryptography, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, March 25-29, 2018, Proceedings, Part II 21, pp. 465–495 (2018). Springer

[6] Lantz, L., Cawrey, D.: Mastering Blockchain. O'Reilly Media, ??? (2020)

[7] Sguanci, C., Spatafora, R., Vergani, A.M.: Layer 2 blockchain scaling: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.10881 (2021)

[8] Kiayias, A., Lazos, P.: Sok: blockchain governance. In: Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Advances in Financial Technologies, pp. 61–73 (2022)

[9] Tapscott, D., Tapscott, A.: Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Penguin, ??? (2016)

[10] Leonardos, S., Reijsbergen, D., Piliouras, G.: Weighted voting on the blockchain: Improving consensus in proof of stake protocols. International Journal of Network Management 30(5), 2093 (2020)

[11] Ermakova, E.P., Frolova, E.E.: Using artificial intelligence in dispute resolution. In: Smart Technologies for the Digitisation of Industry: Entrepreneurial Environment, pp. 131–142. Springer, ??? (2021)

[12] John, K., Rivera, T.J., Saleh, F.: Equilibrium staking levels in a proof-of-stake blockchain. Available at SSRN 3965599 (2021)

[13] Choi, K.J., Jeon, J., Lim, B.H.: Optimal staking and liquid token holding decisions in cryptocurrency markets. Available at SSRN 4528742 (2023)



[14] Tosh, D., Shetty, S., Foytik, P., Kamhoua, C., Njilla, L.: Cloudpos: A proof-of-stake consensus design for blockchain integrated cloud. In: 2018 IEEE
11Th International Conference on Cloud Computing (CLOUD), pp. 302–309
(2018). IEEE

[15] Liu, Y., Lu, Y., Nayak, K., Zhang, F., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y.: Empirical analysis of eip-1559: Transaction fees, waiting times, and consensus security. In: Proceedings of the 2022 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pp. 2099–2113 (2022)

[16] Cai, T., Cai, H., Wang, H., Cheng, X., Wang, L.: Analysis of blockchain system with token-based bookkeeping method. IEEE Access 7, 50823–50832 (2019)

[17] Beck, R., M[•]uller-Bloch, C., King, J.L.: Governance in the blockchain economy: A framework and research agenda. Journal of the association for information systems 19(10), 1 (2018)

[18] Faria, C., Correia, M.: Blocksim: blockchain simulator. In: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain (Blockchain), pp. 439–446 (2019). IEEE

[19] Memon, R.A., Li, J.P., Ahmed, J.: Simulation model for blockchain systems using queuing theory. Electronics 8(2), 234 (2019)